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#### Abstract

We investigate particle transport in the honeycomb billiard which consists of connected channels placed on the edges of a honeycomb structure. The spreading of particles is superdiffusive due to the existence of ballistic trajectories which we term perfect paths. Simulations give a time exponent of 1.72 for the mean-square displacement and a starlike, i.e., anisotropic, particle distribution. We present an analytical treatment based on the formalism of continuous-time random walks and explain the anisotropic distribution under the assumption that the perfect paths follow the directions of the six lattice axes. Furthermore, we derive a relation between the time exponent and the exponent of the distribution function for trajectories close to a perfect path. In billiards with randomly distributed channels, conventional diffusion is always observed in the long-time limit, although for small disorder transient superdiffusional behavior exists. Our simulation results are again supported by an analytical analysis.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Billiard systems, i.e., point particles moving freely in areas bounded by closed curves from which they reflect specularly, are a paradigm of classical mechanics illustrating the difference between regular and chaotic motion [1-3]. Moreover, they are helpful to explore the relation between classical and quantum mechanics [4], where interference of waves becomes important as also observed in optical resonators [5] or by chaotic scattering in optical billiards [6].

In this paper we investigate a special example of the socalled infinite domain or extended billiard, where particle motion is unrestricted. The most famous example invented by Lorentz [7] to model electrons in a metal is the Lorentz gas, where particles reflect specularly from randomly distributed spherical scatterers. A periodic version, the so-called Sinai billiard [8], is illustrated in Fig. 1(a). For special paths, the particles possess an infinite horizon, i.e., they move straight without being scattered [see Fig. 1(a)]. These paths are responsible for the observation that a collection of particles with arbitrary initial direction experience superdiffusion, i.e., in the Sinai billiard their mean-square displacement grows as $t \ln t$, where $t$ denotes time [9]. If sufficiently large scatterers are placed on a hexagonal lattice [10] [see Fig. 1(b)] or if small scatterers fill the interstitial space of the Sinai billiard in Fig. 1(a) [11], particles always have a finite horizon and their motion is purely diffusive.

Recently, transport properties in one-dimensional extended billiards have been studied [11-14] also with special emphasis on heat conduction $[15,16]$. One example, a periodic arrangement of channels (see Ref. [12]), is pictured in Fig. 1(c). Although all particles in such a channel billiard have a finite horizon, there exist paths illustrated in Fig. 1(c) and termed perfect paths in the following, where the particles always move ballistically in one direction and therefore can cause superdiffusion [17].

In this paper, we study a two-dimensional extended billiard, where channels are placed on the edges of the honeycomb structure, and denote it a honeycomb billiard (see

Fig. 2). The spreading of particles in such a billiard is also superdiffusive due to the existence of numerous ballistic or perfect paths, examples of which are illustrated in Fig. 2. Note that path 3 is equivalent to the one in the onedimensional billiard of Fig. 1(c). Our system is an example where particles perform a Lévy walk [20-22]. Very long effective steps along almost perfect paths lead to superdiffusion. We study it with the help of computer simulations and motivate the time exponent for the particles' mean-square displacement within the velocity model [23] of continuoustime random walks $[21,22]$. We furthermore look at random distortions of the honeycomb billiard and show that for small distortions a transient superdiffusive regime exists whereas for large times and also for large distortions the spreading of the particles is always diffusive. Our numerical results are again supported by an analytical analysis.

Originally, our work was motivated by the observation of photon channelling in foams [18,19]. Light in foams is reflected at the liquid-gas interface of the thin films which ultimately leads to a diffusive transport of photons through the system. Experiments and theoretical considerations show


FIG. 1. (a) Ballistic paths in a Sinai billiard, where particles experience an infinite horizon, give rise to superdiffusion. (b) If the scatterers on hexagonal lattice points are sufficiently large, the particle trajectories always have a finite horizon and the spreading of particles is diffusive. (c) A one-dimensional channel billiard with a perfect path giving rise to superdiffusion.


FIG. 2. Honeycomb billiard with three examples of perfect paths.
that the photons have a higher probability to move in the liquid phase of the films, a phenomenon that was then termed photon channeling. The channel billiards studied here are an extreme case where the photons always move in the liquid phase.

Finally, we add a note concerning the classification of our billiard system. Arnol'd's famous theorem states that the phase space of integrable systems in classical mechanics is a torus for each trajectory [24]. On the other hand, the Lorentz gas is chaotic and therefore nonintegrable [4]. Besides quasiintegrable systems [2], Richens and Berry identify pseudointegrable systems with chaotic properties whose phase space is a multihandled sphere instead of a torus [25]. As an example, they investigate a system similar to the honeycomb billiard but with the hexagons replaced by squares and show that the corresponding phase space is a five-handled sphere [25]. Performing an analogous investigation for the honeycomb billiard, we find a ten-handled sphere as phase space [26].

In the following, we introduce details of our billiard system and the method of simulations. In Sec. III we report our numerical results, discuss analytical approaches in Sec. IV, and then end with conclusions. The Appendix contains details of the Lévy-walk model for the honeycomb billiard.

## II. MODEL SYSTEM AND METHOD OF SIMULATIONS

The objective of this paper is to study the dynamics of particles in two-dimensional channel billiards. The construction of the regular honeycomb billiard, as illustrated in Fig. 2, is obvious. However, we also want to investigate random channel billiards. To create them, we employ Voronoi tessellations of the plane [28,29]. They are generated from a distribution of seed points in a simulation box, for which Voronoi polygons are constructed in complete analogy to the Wigner-Seitz cells of periodically arranged lattice sites. For example, a triangular lattice of seed points gives the regular honeycomb structure whose edges we choose to have the length $l_{0}$. In the following all lengths are given in units of $l_{0}$. Then we systematically introduce disorder by shifting the seed points along a randomly chosen displacement vector whose magnitude is equally distributed in the intervall


FIG. 3. Mean-square displacement as a function of time for the perfect honeycomb billiard, for small and large disorder. The symbols are results from simulations, the dashed line is a fit, and the full line is based on an analytic result for the diffusion constant [see Eq. (24)]. The channel width is $d=0.1$.
$[0, \delta r]$. All of our Voronoi tessellations are produced by the software TRIANGLE [30]; examples are presented, e.g., in Ref. [31]. Typically, they contain approximately 15000 cells, which corresponds to a quadratic simulation box with edge length $200 l_{0}$. This simulation box is extended in all spatial directions by periodic boundary conditions.

Now, we arrive at a random channel billiard by placing a channel of width $d$ on each edge of the Voronoi tessellation. Only modest disorder quantified by $\delta r \leqslant 0.3$ is investigated so that all cells still have six edges. This avoids the situation that four instead of three channels meet when we construct the billiard system, which simplifies the determination of the particle path. Particles perform a ballistic motion with a constant velocity $c$ inside the channels; when they hit the boundary they are reflected specularly. In the following, we use the time scale $l_{0} / c$ to rescale time.

Typically, we launch 10000 particles at one vertex of the underlying Voronoi tesselation in an angular range of $60^{\circ}$ and let them run during a time $t=10^{5}$. At several times, we calculate the mean-square displacement $\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle$, where $\mathbf{r}$ denotes the position vector of the particles in the particle cloud, and plot it as a function of $t$. When applicable, diffusion constants in units of $l_{0} c$ are then determined from a fit to $\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle=4 D t$.

## III. SUPERDIFFUSION: RESULTS FROM SIMULATIONS

In this section we present our numerical results for the exact honeycomb billiard and the random channel billiard. Figure 3, where we plot the mean-square displacement as a function of time for different $\delta r$, summarizes our main results. In the exact honeycomb billiard ( $\delta r=0$, plus symbols), the particles exhibit superdiffusion, i.e., in $\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle \propto t^{\nu}$ the time exponent is larger than 1 and assumes the value $\nu$ $=1.72 \pm 0.02$. Within the numerical error, the exponent is independent of the channel width $d$ as long as $d$ is small enough so that the particle's horizon is finite. Nevertheless, even for a finite horizon, we find ballistic trajectories, termed


FIG. 4. Particle distribution for $t=10^{5}$ for (a) the honeycomb billiard and (b) the random channel billiard with $\delta r=0.3$. The channel width is $d=0.1$.
perfect paths in the following, in the sense that the particles move, on average, in one direction although they experience numerous reflections in the channels. Examples of such trajectories are illustrated in Fig. 2; path 3 is equivalent to the "propagating periodic orbit" in the work of Sanders and Larralde [see Fig. 1(c) and Ref. [11]]. In the framework of Lévy walks, they can be considered as steps of infinite length and therefore are responsible for the superdiffusive behavior. We will investigate them in more detail in Sec. IV. For small disorder ( $\delta r=10^{-6}$, star symbols), the mean-square displacement exhibits a transient superdiffusive regime for small times with the same exponent $\nu=1.72$ as in the regular case and then enters conventional diffusion $(\nu=1)$ for large times. Finally, for large disorder ( $\delta r=0.3$, circle symbols), the motion is purely diffusive.

Figure 4(a) shows clearly that the superdiffusive motion in the honeycomb billiard is associated with a nonisotropic probability distribution $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of the particles. The spikes in $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$, plotted for $t=10^{5}$, suggest that the long effective steps, responsible for superdiffusion, occur along the six equivalent directions of the channels. Within the theory of continuous-time random walks, we can show that such a spiky shape of the distribution has to appear. However, in the regime of conventional diffusion $(\delta r=0.3)$, the distribution $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$ assumes the expected isotropic shape of the Gaussian distribution, as illustrated in Fig. 4(b).

We investigated if the probability distribution $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$ obeys the scaling law

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\mathbf{r}, t)=\frac{1}{t^{\nu}} P\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{t^{\nu / 2}}, 1\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If it is valid, the moments of $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{r}(t)\right|^{q}\right\rangle=\left.t^{\gamma(q)}\langle | \mathbf{r}(1)\right|^{q}\right\rangle \quad \text { with } \quad \gamma(q)=\nu q / 2, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as one can show in a straightforward manner. We determined the exponents $\gamma(q)$ from a double-logarithmic plot of $\left.\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{r}(t)\right|^{q}\right\rangle /\left.\langle | \mathbf{r}(1)\right|^{q}\right\rangle$ versus time $t$. Figure 5 plots $\gamma(q)$ as a function of $q$ for the honeycomb billiard for a channel width $d=1$. Since the single points follow a nearly straight line, the scaling law of Eq. (1) is roughly satisfied. A closer inspection, however, reveals that the regions for $q<2$ and $q>2$ are better fitted by different slopes $\nu / 2=1.68 / 2$ and $1.9 / 2$, respectively. So the exponent $\nu=1.72$ determined from the
mean-square displacement lies between these two values. Interestingly, such a small difference of the slopes was also found by Sanders and Larralde in Ref. [11] for their "parallel zigzag billiard" with the kink at $q=3$. On the other hand, in the infinite horizon billiards with $\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle \propto t \ln t$ studied by Armstead et al. [27], the same analysis also reveals a kink at $q$ $=2$ but with a larger difference of the slopes $\nu / 2=0.5$ for $q$ $<2$ and $\nu / 2=1$ for $q>2$, respectively. Castiglione et al. [32] termed the transport in systems with such a nonlinear momentum analysis strongly anomalously diffusive. Note that in all examples given in $[11,27,32]$ the slope for the higher momenta always is 1 , which is different from the slope of $1.9 / 2$ in the honeycomb billiard. Finally, we note that for random channel billiards $\gamma(q)=q / 2$ confirming the expected Gaussian distribution.

In Fig. 6 we plot $\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle$ versus time for different strengths of disorder. At small times, there is always superdiffusional behavior with the same time exponent. Both the crossover time to conventional diffusion and the diffusion constant $D$ increase with decreasing $\delta r$. Especially, we find that $D$ diverges for $\delta r \rightarrow 0$.


FIG. 5. Time exponent $\gamma(q)$ for the $q$ th moment of the particle distribution function plotted versus $q$. The symbols are results from simulations for the exact honeycomb billiard with channel width $d=1$. The fitted dashed lines have slopes $1.68 / 2$ and $1.9 / 2$.


FIG. 6. Mean-square displacement as a function of time $t$ for different strengths $\delta r$ of disorder illustrating the transient regime of superdiffusion. The full and dashed lines indicate the limiting cases of conventional diffusion and pure superdiffusion. The symbols are results from simulations, the channel width $d$ is 0.1 . The inset shows the mean-square displacement calculated with the step-time distribution of Eq. (18) for different $t_{d i s}$.

## IV. SUPERDIFFUSION: AN ANALYTIC APPROACH

## A. Exact honeycomb billiard

In Fig. 7 we plot randomly chosen particle trajectories from our simulations. They demonstrate that particles move, on average, in one direction for a very long time before they change their course. Moreover, long steps along one of the six possible channel directions are in the majority. These long steps occur due to the existence of ballistic trajectories or perfect paths, as we call them, where particles follow forever a certain direction. Examples were already introduced in Fig. 2. The main contribution to superdiffusion comes from paths that are close to perfect, i.e., paths whose initial conditions differ slightly from a perfect one. Almost perfect and perfect trajectories then take the same channels for a long
time until the difference between them is so large that they enter different channels. Therefore, an almost perfect path follows the direction of a ballistic trajectory for a long time before changing its direction. In the following, we consider the straight sections of an almost perfect path as an effective step in a Lévy walk and use the formalism of a continoustime random walk to determine the mean-square displacement and the particle distribution function on the basis of a distribution for these effective steps [23]. Since in our case, the long steps are restricted to special directions, the exponent in the mean-square displacement is equivalent to the one found in Ref. [21] for pure one-dimensional rather than two-dimensional systems, as we will demonstrate explicitely below.

In a periodic Lorentz gas with infinite horizons the situation is similar. The main contribution to superdiffusion is due to paths close to the straight ballistic trajectories depicted in Fig. 1(a). An effective step in the Lorentz gas ends when a particle whose initial conditions differ from the ones of a ballistic path hits a scatterer. For both the Lorentz gas and the hexagonal billard, let us denote by $\epsilon$ the difference in the starting angle of a perfect and an almost perfect path. Particles starting at the same point will accumulate a difference $\delta x$ in position that in leading order grows as $t \epsilon$, where $t$ is the travel time of the particles. An effective step ends if $\delta x$ exceeds some treshold $\delta x_{\max }$ proportional to the distance of scatterers in the Lorentz gas or to the channel width $d$ in the honeycomb billard. In both systems, the duration $t$ of an effective step is therefore proportional to $1 / \epsilon$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon$. For the Lorentz gas this relation follows from the work in Ref. [9]; for the honeycomb billiard we explicitely justify it for a special class of perfect paths in the Appendix.

Let $p(\epsilon) d \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ be the probability of finding a particle close to a perfect path with a starting angle in the interval $[\alpha+\epsilon, \alpha$ $+\epsilon+d \epsilon]$, where $\alpha$ is the starting angle of the perfect path. With $t \propto 1 / \epsilon$, one finds the distribution function $\lambda(t)$ of the effective step times:


FIG. 7. Simulated paths of particles started with random conditions in a perfect honeycomb lattice. Lengths are given in units of the edge length $l_{0}$ of a hexagon. It is obvious that some particles follow straight paths along the six lattice axis for very long times before they change direction. Note that in this random selection of paths no path is close to path 3 of Fig. 2. It seems that perfect paths not running along the lattice axes are very rare.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t) d t=p(\epsilon) d \epsilon \propto \frac{p(1 / t)}{t^{2}} d t . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a Lorentz gas $p(\epsilon) \propto \sin \epsilon$, i.e., the velocity component $\cos \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ perpendicular to the direction of the infinite horizon is equally distributed [9]. Therefore, $\lambda(t) \propto 1 / t^{3}$ in the long-time limit that leads to a mean-square displacement $\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle$ proportional to $t \ln t[9,23]$ characterizing a marginally anomalous diffusion.

In our simulations of the particle transport in the honeycomb billard, we choose all starting angles with the same probability. A constant angular distribution $p(\epsilon)$ leads to $\lambda(t) \propto 1 / t^{2}$ and therefore $\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle \propto t^{2} / \ln t$ [23]. However, in the simulations we find $\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle \propto t^{1.72}$. We suspect that during the evolution of the photon cloud the angles close to perfect paths are no longer equally distributed, as also observed in the periodic Lorentz gas. In the following, we therefore consider an angular distribution $p(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^{\beta}$ with an exponent $\beta$ between 0 and 1 in Eq. (3). To obtain a normalizable steptime distribution $\lambda(t)$, we use a small cutoff at a time $\tau$ and arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t) \propto \frac{1}{t^{2+\beta}} \theta(t-\tau), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the step function $\theta(t-\tau)$ is one for $t>\tau$ and zero for $t<\tau$. In the formalism of continuous-time random walks, the important quantity is the probability $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ that the particle performs a step along $\mathbf{r}$ in time $t$. It is written as $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ $=p(\mathbf{r} \mid t) \lambda(t)$, where $p(\mathbf{r} \mid t)$ denotes the conditional probability to move along $\mathbf{r}$ in time $t$. As suggested by Fig. 7, we assume that the particles move with an effective velocity $v$ along the six possible channel directions given by the unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_{j}=(\cos \pi j / 3, \sin \pi j / 3)$. So the conditional probability becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\mathbf{r} \mid t)=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \delta\left(\mathbf{r}-v t \mathbf{e}_{j}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now calculate the particle distribution function $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the mean-square displacement using the "velocity model" for Lévy walks introduced in [23]. Within the formalism of continuous-time random walks, the particle distribution function, i.e., the probability to find a particle at location $\mathbf{r}$ and time $t$, is given by the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\mathbf{r}, t)=\int d^{2} r^{\prime} \int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} P\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \psi\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}, t-t^{\prime}\right)+R(\mathbf{r}, t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the probability to reach or pass the point $\mathbf{r}$ at time $t$ within one step:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\mathbf{r}, t)=p(\mathbf{r} \mid t) \int_{t}^{\infty} d t^{\prime} \lambda\left(t^{\prime}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Performing a Fourier transformation in space and a Laplace transformation in time, Eq. (6) can be solved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u)=\frac{\bar{R}(\mathbf{k}, u)}{1-\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{k}, u)} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the overbar indicates a function in Fourier-Laplace space. Using $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)=p(\mathbf{r} \mid t) \lambda(t)$ together with the respective definitions (5) and (7) for $p(\mathbf{r} \mid t)$ and $R(\mathbf{r}, t)$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u)=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{6}\left[1-\bar{\lambda}\left(u-i v \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\right] /\left(u-i v \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{6}\left[1-\bar{\lambda}\left(u-i v \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\right]} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplace transform of the step-time distribution (4) can be expanded for small $u$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}(u) \approx 1+a u^{1+\beta}-b u \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=\Gamma(-1-\beta)$ and $b=(1+\beta) \tau / \beta$ are positive constants and $\Gamma(x)$ denotes the Gamma function. Note that the normalization $\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda(t) d t=1$ is satisfied by $\bar{\lambda}(0)=1$. Then, for small $u$ and $k$, Eq. (9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u)=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{6}\left[-a\left(u-i v \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)^{\beta}+b\right]}{\sum_{j=1}^{6}\left[-a\left(u-i v \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)^{1+\beta}+b u\right]} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the denominator, the linear term in $\mathbf{k}$ has vanished because $\sum_{j=0}^{6} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}=0$.

The Laplace transform of the mean-square displacement can be calculated with the help of $\bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\overline{\mathbf{r}}^{2}(u)\right\rangle=-\left.\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} \bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u)\right|_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{0}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are therefore taking a closer look at Eq. (11) in the limit $k / u \rightarrow 0$. We expand $\left(u-i v \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)^{\beta}$ and $\left(u-i v \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)^{1+\beta}$ in terms of $k / u$ and finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u) \approx \frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{b} \beta v^{2} k^{2} u^{\beta-3} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this approximate form in Eq. (12) and calculating the inverse Laplace transform, we find the mean-square displacement in the long-time limit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}(t)\right\rangle \propto t^{2-\beta} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the region $0<\beta<1$, to which our calculations apply, the time exponent $\nu=2-\beta$ varies between 1 and 2 so that we are in the superdiffusive but subballistic regime. As already mentioned above, the relation between the exponents in the step-time distribution $\lambda(t)$ and the mean-square displacement is the same as the one found in Refs. [21-23] for onedimensional systems. The exponent $\nu=1.72$, which we observe in our simulations, is achieved with an exponent $\beta=0.28$ in the angular distribution $p(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^{\beta}$. Our numerical results can therefore be explained with the assumption that close to perfect paths the density of possible particle paths sharply drops to zero.


FIG. 8. Fourier-Laplace transform of the particle distribution function $\bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u)$ as given by Eq. (9) for $u=10^{-3}, v=1$, and $\beta=0.28$.

Figure 8 shows $\bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u)$ as given in Eq. (11) for $u=10^{-3}$, $v=1$, and $\beta=0.28$. One clearly sees a sixfold symmetry that through the inverse Fourier-Laplace transformation is also visible in $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$. To analyze this starlike distribution pattern further, we investigate $\bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u)$ in the limit $u / k \rightarrow 0$. Expanding Eq. (11) in $u / k$ and employing polar coordinates, $\mathbf{k}=\left(k \cos \varphi_{k}, k \sin \varphi_{k}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u) \approx \frac{1}{u}+\frac{a}{b} v^{1+\beta} \frac{k^{1+\beta}}{u^{2}} \Phi\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$ is a function that only depends on the angular variable:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\varphi_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6}\left[i \cos \left(\varphi_{k}-\frac{\pi}{3} j\right)\right]^{1+\beta} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the sixfold symmery, $\Phi\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$ is a real function and can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\varphi_{k}\right)=-\frac{1}{3} \sin \left(\beta \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sum_{l=1}^{3}\left|\cos \left(\varphi_{k}-\frac{\pi}{3} l\right)\right|^{1+\beta} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We plot $\Phi\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$ in Fig. 9 for $\beta=0.28$. It has minima at $\phi_{k}$ $=j \pi / 3 \quad(j=1, \ldots, 6)$, indicating that along these directions the width of $\bar{P}(\mathbf{k}, u)$ is smaller compared to other directions, as is also visible in Fig. 8. Therefore, in real space the width of the particle distribution will be largest along the corresponding six channel directions. This explains the nonisotropic distribution pattern we find in our simulations [see Fig. 4(a)]. So the starlike structure is indeed a result of the fact that effective long steps occur only along special directions.

## B. Random channel billiard

In a disordered channel system, perfect paths do not exist. However, for small disorder, there are particle trajectories that pass the same channels as a perfect path until they ulti-


FIG. 9. Angular part $\Phi\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$ of the particle distribution function in Fourier-Laplace space in the limit $u / k \rightarrow 0$ for $\beta=0.28$ [see Eq. (16)].
mately take another route. This behavior is similar to the almost perfect paths in the honeycomb billiard. Small disorder therefore cuts off very long steps. To take this effect into account, we introduce an exponential factor in the step-time distribution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{d i s}(t) \propto e^{-t / t_{d i s} \lambda(t) \propto \frac{e^{-t / t_{d i s}}}{t^{2+\beta}} \theta(t-\tau), ~, ~} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{\text {dis }}$ is a time that decreases with increasing disorder $\delta r$. Paths with effective step times beyond $t_{\text {dis }}$ are considerably reduced in number. To arrive at the correct limit of the ordered honeycomb billiard, $t_{\text {dis }} \rightarrow \infty$ for $\delta r \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, our description based on the step-time distribution (18) breaks down, when $t_{\text {dis }}$ approaches 1, i.e., the time a particle needs to pass one channel. Diffusion in such strongly disordered channel billiards can be modeled by assuming that, on average, the particle's paths in different channels are uncorrelated, as we will explain below in detail.

The new step-time distribution (18) has finite first and second moments. Therefore, the mean-square displacement in a disordered channel system is linear in time in the longtime limit, i.e., the particles behave diffusively. For smaller times, superdiffusion occurs depending on the value of $t_{d i s}$, as illustrated by the inset of Fig. 6. At a time of the order of $t_{d i s}$, a transition to the diffusive regime occurs. This is in aggreement with the results from our simulations. For decreasing disorder, the crossover time $t_{\text {dis }}$ becomes larger and so does the time range where superdiffusion is found. Ultimately, in the limit $\delta r \rightarrow 0$ the time $t_{d i s}$ diverges and superdiffusion persists in the long-time limit.

At sufficiently strong disorder in the random channel billiards, the particle's paths in different channels are not correlated on average. Then we can consider a random walker that performs steps along the edges of the Voronoi tesselation. On average, the step length is equal to the edge length of the unperturbed honeycomb lattice, i.e., 1 in our scaling [31]. Furthermore, after each step the random walker changes its direction with the same probability $1 / 2$ either to
the right or to the left by an angle that, on average, assumes a value of $\pi / 3$. This enables us to calculate the mean-square displacement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}\right\rangle=n+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\left\langle\mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}\right\rangle, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vector $\mathbf{r}_{i}$ characterizes a single step with $\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}\right|=1$. It is straightforward to show that $\left\langle\mathbf{r}_{i}\right\rangle=\mathbf{r}_{j} / 2^{i-j}$ for $i>j$ and we can set $\left\langle\mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}\right\rangle=\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j} / 2^{i-j}=1 / 2^{i-j}$. The mean-square displacement is then calculated using the formula for geometric sums:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle=n+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{2^{i-j}}=3 n-4+\frac{4}{2^{n}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit of $n \rightarrow \infty$ this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle=3 n . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

To arrive at the mean-square displacement in terms of time $t$, we have to relate the number $n$ of steps to $t$. We first consider a particle path with a fixed angle $\alpha$ relative to the channel direction. For small channel widths $d$ so that details at the channel junctions can be neglected, the time $T(\alpha)$ to pass the channel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\cos (\alpha)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The step number $n$ is then given by the average over all possible angles $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{t}{T(\alpha)} d \alpha=\frac{2}{\pi} t \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

With Eq. (21) and $\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle=4 D t$, we finally arrive at the diffusion constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an excellent estimate for random channel billiards as illustrated by the perfect fit of the full line in Fig. 3 to the simulation results for $\delta r=0.3$ and $d=0.1$. Note that Eq. (24) does not depend on disorder. As soon as all long-time correlations are destroyed, any further increase of disorder does not affect the diffusion constant.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

With the honeycomb billiard, which can also be viewed as a system where hexagonal scatterers are placed on a triangular lattice, we have investigated a periodic extended billiard in detail. Though particles moving in the honeycomb billiard always have a finite horizon, there exist perfect paths where they move ballistically in one direction. We have clarified that almost perfect paths give rise to an overall superdiffusive behavior. Our simulations reveal a mean square displacement $\left\langle\mathbf{r}^{2}\right\rangle \propto t^{\nu}$ with a time exponent $\nu=1.72$. On the other hand, in our analytical treatment we have applied the

Lévy walk model based on the formalism of continuous-time random walks by considering the long straight parts of almost perfect paths as effective steps. Assuming for their occurrence a general distribution of the form $p(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^{\beta}$, we can show that the mean-square displacement possesses a time exponent $\nu=2-\beta$. A comparison with the simulation results then gives $\beta=0.28$ which means that almost perfect paths are less probable than other trajectories.

In contrast to previous treatments of Lévy walks, the directional distribution of steps in our model is not isotropic. Instead, steps are limited to the six possible channel directions, which are used by most of the perfect paths as suggested by our simulations. We therefore find that the time exponent of the mean-square displacement corresponds to the one determined for one-dimensional systems [21-23]. Furthermore, our analysis reveals a starlike distribution of the particles' positions with a sixfold symmetry in accordance with our simulation results. So a limitation of the allowed step directions together with a step-time distribution that causes superdiffusion ultimately gives rise to an anisotropic particle distribution.

We have also introduced disorder into the honeycomb billiard so that the directions of the channels are randomized. In the limit of long times, these random channel billiards always display diffusive behavior. Transient superdiffusion is, however, visible in systems with weak disorder for small times. We explain it with the help of an exponential cutoff in the step-time distribution. For large disorder, correlations along the particle path between successive channels are lost on average. With the help of an elementary random walk model on a honeycomb lattice, we can estimate the diffusion constant which fits our simulation results very well.

Our investigation shows that the transport of particles in two-dimensional channel systems governed by the rule of specular reflection adds further insight to the current knowledge of extended billiards. Since we were motivated to the present study by light transport in foams, as mentioned in the introduction, the interesting question arises how the superdif-


FIG. 10. The position of the particle within the $i$ th channel is given by the distance $\left|x_{i, f}\right|$ or $\left|x_{i, l}\right|$ between vertices of the underlying honeycomb structure (dotted lines) and the first or last intersection of the particle's path with the center line of the channel. The sign of $x_{i, l}$ and $x_{i, f}$ is negative if the intersection is to the left of the vertices; otherwise it is positive. The angle of the particle's path with the center line is denoted $\alpha_{i}$. It is always taken positive and never changes within a channel. For $\alpha_{i}<\pi / 6$, the particle proceeds into the channel $i+1$ situated either opposite (case a) or next (case b) to the last reflection in channel $i$.


FIG. 11. All possible angles for a particle with a starting angle $\alpha_{i}<\pi / 6$. In every second channel the starting angle is repeated: $\alpha_{i+2 n}=\alpha_{i}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1, f}=\mp \frac{\sin \alpha_{i}}{\sin \alpha_{i+1}} x_{i, \text { last }} . \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper and lower signs belong, respectively, to case a or b. The relations for channel $i+1$ equivalent to Eqs. (A1) and (A2) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i+1, l}=x_{i+1, f}+m_{i+1} d \cot \alpha_{i+1}-1,  \tag{A3}\\
& x_{i+2, f}=\mp \frac{\sin \alpha_{i+1}}{\sin \alpha_{i}} x_{i+1, l}, \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

where in Eq. (A4) $\alpha_{i+2}=\alpha_{i}$ was used. Finally, combining all equations (A1)-(A4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i+2, f}= & x_{i, f}-1 \\
& +m_{i} d \cot \alpha_{i} \pm \frac{\sin \left(\pi / 3 \mp \alpha_{i}\right)}{\sin \alpha_{i}} \mp \frac{\cos \left(\pi 3 \mp \alpha_{i}\right)}{\sin \alpha_{i}} m_{i+1} d . \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

From the multitude of possible perfect paths, we calculate the step-time distribution $\lambda(t)$ for a special class of perfect paths characterized by the requirement that the position $x_{i, f}$ in the channel is periodically repeated in every second channel, i.e., $x_{i+2 k, f}=x_{i, f}$ for all $k$. From Eq. (A5), this is the case for


FIG. 12. Two particles can only enter the same channel if the distance between the last intersections of their paths with the channel center line is smaller than $\delta x_{\max }=d / \tan \alpha_{n}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}=\frac{\tan \alpha_{i}}{d} \quad \text { and } \quad m_{i+1}=\frac{\tan \alpha_{i+1}}{d} \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ now belongs to this perfect path. Examples are illustrated in Fig. 2 with paths 1 and 3.

For a particle with starting angle $\alpha_{i}+\epsilon$, not running on a perfect path, Eq. (A5) applies as well with $\alpha_{i}$ replaced by $\alpha_{i}+\epsilon$. We can therefore calculate the difference in positions $\delta x_{i+2}=x_{i+2, f}^{\prime}-x_{i+2, f}$ in channel $i+2$ when the difference in channel $i$ is $\delta x_{i}=x_{i, f}^{\prime}-x_{i, f}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta x_{i+2}=\delta x_{i}+K_{\alpha_{i}} \frac{\sin \epsilon}{\sin \left(\alpha_{i}+\epsilon\right)}, \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\alpha_{i}}=\frac{\mp 3-\sqrt{3} \tan \alpha_{i}}{ \pm \cos \alpha_{i}+\sqrt{3} \sin \alpha_{i}} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eqs. (A6) were used. We now assume that particles on the perfect path and its neighboring path start at the same position, i.e., $\delta x_{1}=0$. In the long-time limit the different behavior in channels $i$ and $i+1$ is irrelevant so that in the $n$th channel the difference in position $\delta x_{n}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta x_{n} \propto n \frac{\sin \epsilon}{\sin \left(\alpha_{1}+\epsilon\right)} . \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta x_{n}$ exceeds a threshold proportional to $\delta x_{\max }$ $=d / \tan \alpha_{1}$, particles traveling on the perfect path and its neighbor proceed into different channels (see Fig. 12). Therefore the maximum number of channels $n_{\max }$ where they travel through the same channels is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\max } \propto \delta x_{\max } \frac{\sin \left(\alpha_{1}+\epsilon\right)}{\sin \epsilon}=d\left(\sin \alpha_{1}+\frac{\tan \alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{\tan \epsilon}\right) . \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the particles move ballistically and for small $\epsilon$, the duration of an effective step therefore is

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \propto n_{\max } \propto \frac{1}{\epsilon} . \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of particles with starting angles in the intervall $\left[\alpha_{1}+\epsilon, \alpha_{1}+\epsilon+d \epsilon\right]$ is $p(\epsilon) d \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where $p(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$ is the distribution of starting angles close to a perfect path. Together with Eq. (A11), this determines the step-time distribution for $t \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t) d t=p(\epsilon) d \epsilon \propto \frac{p(1 / t)}{t^{2}} d t . \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$
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